

Does Choosing by Advantages promote Inclusiveness in group decision-making?

Annett Schöttle, Refine Projects AG, Germany Randi Christinsen, COWI, UK Paz Arroyo, DPR, USA



Group Decision-Making

Integration of different perspective improves the decision quality and the support of the decision during implementation.



- Social process
- Difficult to manage



Three Factors that influence Group Decisions

Groupthink

Lack of diverse thinking, because of a highly group cohesiveness to maintain unanimity or the pressure to conform to norms.

Psychological safety

Fear of speaking-up freely, because of
disapproval, negative
consequences, or the
concern to be seen as
incompetent.

Gender bias

Effects of gender proportion in group decision-making, a gender is underrepresented.



What we know from the Literature ...when using CBA in group decision-making

- Constructive conversations
- Holistic perception of value
- Confidence about outcome
- Traceable for a not involved party

Aligns with the Lean idea of making decisions slowly by consensus and implementing rapidly (nemawashi).



Research Question and Method



Does CBA promote inclusiveness to overcome group thinking, promote psychological safety, and avoid gender bias?







Lower Thames Crossing

- Most ambitious road scheme since the M25, linking Kent,
 Thurrock and Essex
- £5.3-£6.8bn estimated cost
- 14.5 miles of dual-3 lane expressway
- Over 50 new bridges
- One of the world's largest bored tunnels
- Longest road tunnel in the UK
- Open for traffic in 2027
- Currently in preliminary design



Lean Awareness Survey & Observation (Fully completed by 160 team members)

Findings confirmed literature review

- Gaining consensus across the project
- Better decision quality, efficiency, togetherness, transparency, and integrity
- Transparent documentation
- Discussion focuses on relevant differences

Additionally

- Feeling that everyone is heard
- Easier to speak up compared to seniors
- No directive leadership in the room during CBA workshops
- Especially introvert personalities appreciate the opportunity to prepare upfront



Inclusiveness survey results Reported Pain Points when not using CBA

- Not having the right people in the room
- Lack in communication and information sharing
- Misunderstanding of perspectives during and after the decision-making



Problems in understanding the decision outcome



Inclusiveness survey results (6 participants/facilitators, gender relation 50/50)

Category	Without using CBA	Using CBA
Speak-up freely	 Variation from occasionally to always 	– Yes
Respect regarding views	 High variation: somewhat agrees to somewhat disagrees 	- Yes



Inclusiveness survey results (6 participants/facilitators, gender relation 50/50)

Category	Without using CBA	Using CBA
Experience	 Ignored/Not listened to Spoken over/Interrupted Not being included Females reported that others repeated their idea and got support/credit for it, and they were not recognized for their idea 	 Respect among participants Participants listen to each other Supports speaking up Participants' valued input Other people's perspective could be understood easily (mostly very easily)







CBA "promotes inclusivity by nature of the more open invitation process."



Conclusion

- CBA promotes inclusiveness to overcome groupthink
- CBA promotes psychological safety
- No direct evidence was found that CBA helps to overcome gender bias



Perception: CBA helps to achieve a higher degree of inclusiveness, but because of the insufficient dataset more research is needed.



Future Research



Generalize the findings regarding groupthink and psychological safety



Analyse the impact of gender bias



Deeper investigation regarding group cohesiveness